severe droughts were recorded in northeastern Brazil and appeared to greatly reduce the availability of fruit at the study site, both in general, and during the dry season, in particular. Disputes for access to food items were never observed during the first two years of monitoring, when the composition of the group was more typical, i.e., a breeding pair and their offspring. While the sum of the evidence indicates that resource scarcity during an atypical dry season was a primary factor determining the observed agonistic encounters, it remains unclear whether and to what extent the composition of the group may have contributed.

## Acknowledgments

We are grateful to Sr. Ary Ferreira for authorizing field-work on his property at Fazenda Trapsa, Adriano Rodrigues ("Xinxinho") for field assistance, and João Pedro Souza-Alves for his valuable input. Fieldwork at the site has been supported by CNPq, FAPITEC-SE, and CAPES. We would also like to thank Jessica Lynch Alfaro for her helpful comments on the original draft of the manuscript.

Fernanda B. A. Correia, Sirley A. A. Baião, Graduate Program in Development and Environment – PRODEMA, Universidade Federal de Sergipe, Av. Marechal Rondon s/n, Rosa Elze, 49.100-000 São Cristóvão—SE, Brazil. E-mail: <fernanda\_aragao@yahoo.com.br>, and Stephen F. Ferrari, Department of Ecology, Universidade Federal de Sergipe, São Cristóvão—SE, Brazil.

#### References

Baião, S. A. A. 2013. Macaco guigó (*Callicebus coimbrai*): dispersão de sementes e conhecimento ecológico na Mata Atlântica de Sergipe. MSc thesis, Universidade Federal de Sergipe, São Cristovão, Brazil.

Bicca-Marques, J. C. and Heymann, E. W. 2013. Ecology and behavior of titi monkeys (genus *Callicebus*). In: *Evolutionary Biology and Conservation of Titis, Sakis, and Uacaris*, L.M. Veiga, A.A. Barnett, S.F. Ferrari and M.A.Norconk (eds.), pp. 196–207. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

Cäsar, C., Franco, E. S., Soares, G. C. N. and Young, R. J. 2008. Observed case of maternal infanticide in a wild group of black-fronted titi monkeys (*Callicebus nigri-frons*). *Primates* 49: 143–145.

Correia, F. B. A., Baião, S. A. A. and Ferarri, S. F. 2013. Dysfunctional parenting behavior in a male titi (*Callice-bus coimbrai*). II Congresso Latino Americano de Primatologia/XV Congresso Brasileiro de Primatologia, Recife, Brazil.

Fernandez-Duque, E., Valeggia, C. R. and Mason, W. A. 2000 Effects of pair-bond and social context on male-female interactions in captive titi monkeys (*Callicebus moloch*, Primates: Cebidae). *Ethology* 106: 1067–1082.

Martin, P. and Bateson, P. 1993 *Measuring Behavior:* an *Introductory Guide*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

Santana, M. M. 2012. Comportamento, dieta e uso de espaço em um grupo de guigó-de-Coimbra (*Callicebus coimbrai* Kobayashi &Langguth, 1999) no RVS Mata do Junco, Capela-SE. MSc thesis, Universidade Federal de Sergipe, São Cristóvão, Brazil.

Souza-Alves, J. P. 2013. Ecology and life-history of Coimbra-Filho's titi monkeys (*Callicebus coimbrai*) in the Brazilian Atlantic Forest. Doctoral dissertation, Universidade Federal da Paraíba, João Pessoa, Brazil.

Souza-Alves, J. P., Fontes, I. P., Chagas, R. R. D. and Ferrari, S. F. 2011. Seasonal versatility in the feeding ecology of a group of titis (*Callicebus coimbrai*) in the northern Brazilian Atlantic Forest. *Am. J. Primatol.* 73: 1199–1209.

Souza-Alves, J. P. and Ferrari, S. F. 2012. Unwanted home-coming: an adult male titi (*Callicebus coimbrai*) returns to its natal group. XXIV Congress of the International Primatological Society, Cancún, Mexico.

EXTRAGROUP COPULATION IN A SMALL AND ISOLATED *ALOUATTA GUARIBA CLAMITANS* POPULATION

Elisa Brod Decker Júlio César Bicca-Marques

Copulation solicitation and promiscuity appear to characterize female mating behavior in all atelid genera so far studied (no data is available for *Oreonax*; Di Fiore et al., 2011). Extragroup copulations (EGCs), on the other hand, have been reported only in *Brachyteles* (Strier, 1997) and *Alouatta* (Kowalewski and Garber, 2010). In the latter, EGCs have been described in five taxa (*A. arctoidea*, Agoramoorthy and Hsu, 2000; *A. caraya*, Kowalewski and Garber, 2010; *A. guariba clamitans*, Fialho and Setz, 2007; Lopes and Bicca-Marques, 2011; *A. palliata*, Glander, 1992; *A. pigra*, Van Belle et al., 2009).

Here we report an EGC in a brown howler monkey (*Alouatta guariba clamitans*) population studied from February to August 2012 in a 10-ha fragment of semideciduous Atlantic forest (30°49'25.53"S, 51°47'59.87"W; *ca.* 75-115 m a.s.l.), Camaquá, state of Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil, near the southern limit of the species' distribution. This fragment is immersed in a matrix of crops and pastures and is about 3.5 km distant from the nearest forest potentially inhabited by howler monkeys (information from local inhabitants). This is the third report of EGC in this taxon.

### Results

Three howler groups (G1 and G3=5 individuals each, G2=3 individuals) live in the fragment. In February 2012 G1 was composed of two adult males (Barba Ruiva and Damasco), two adult females (Jane and Gorda), and one juvenile male (Dionivá), whereas G3 was composed of one adult male (Morfeu), two adult females (Caraya and

Adela), one juvenile male (Feli), and one juvenile female (Amanda). An infant was born in each group during the study (G2 in April, and G1 and G3 in July), but those of G1 (mother: Jane) and G2 disappeared one month after birth due to unknown causes. The G3 infant (Bini; mother, Adela) was alive at the end of the research, increasing group size to six individuals. Each study group was followed from dawn to dusk during 4-5 days per month (27 days of data collection per group or a total sampling effort of 81 days). There is no data on the degree of relatedness within and between groups. However, aimed at its small size and spatial isolation it is likely that the study population shows a level of inbreeding higher than that found in larger populations.

A total of 19 intergroup encounters between G1 and G3 were observed during the study (February and June, n=5 each; March, April, and July/August, n=3 each). Most of them (n=18 or 95%) occurred at important food trees (Ficus cestrifolia, Ficus luschnathiana or Schefflera morototoni). During these encounters G1 often left the area before G3 (16 out of 18 cases or 89%; it was not possible to identify which group left first in one encounter), although each group arrived first at similar frequencies (G1: n=11 or 58%; G3: n=8 or 42%). Only in the last encounter occurred the EGC between a G1 adult female and the G3 adult male reported here. Intergroup encounters between G1 and G2 were more frequent (n=33), but none EGC was recorded. Intragroup copulations were recorded only twice during the study, once in February (G3, Morfeu and Adela) and another in May (G1, Barba Ruiva and Gorda).

Nine agonistic interactions were observed during the encounters between G1 and G3 (a rate of almost one interaction every two encounters). Most of them (n=7) involved the G3 adult male (Morfeu) chasing both G1 adult males (Barba Ruiva and Damasco). In one situation the G1 male Damasco chased a G3 adult female whose identity could not be determined. The last intergroup agonistic interaction involved both G3 females (Adela and Caraya) chasing the G1 female Jane after her EGC with Morfeu (described below).

On 2 August 2012 G1 arrived first in a fig (*Ficus cestrifolia*) tree frequently used by G3 as a food source and sleeping site (the exact time of arrival is unknown because EBD was following G3).

12:30 - G3 begins moving in the direction of the fig tree.

12:55 - All G1 individuals are resting when G3 members probably see the intruding group. G3 individuals stop moving and start to rest in another fig (*Ficus luschnathiana*) tree in front of the *F. cestrifolia* tree where G1 continues resting. Morfeu, Caraya, and a juvenile (probably Amanda) stay about 4 m from G1, whereas Adela (carrying the infant in her belly) and the other juvenile (probably Feli) are further away (2 m from their group mates).

13:20 - Morfeu moves 2 m to a place closer to G1 than the other G3 members.

13:45 - G1 members are feeding on leaves from both (*E. cestrifolia* and *F. luschnathiana*) fig trees. Morfeu, Adela (carrying the infant), and both juveniles move about 2 m toward them and they retreat about 3 m.

13:50 - Morfeu starts barking. He barks for about 4 minutes.

13:55 - G1 adult female Jane moves to the *F. cestrifolia* tree where Morfeu is barking and they copulate in a dorso-ventral posture for about 4 minutes. The other G3 members witness the EGC and the G1 members (at least some of them) may also have witnessed it. Soon after mating Jane is chased by the G3 adult females, Adela and Caraya, and runs toward her group members. Morfeu, on the other hand, rubs his chin in a trunk of the tree where they mated and starts barking.

14:10 - Morfeu is piloerected and starts howling. Adela, by his side, joins him a few seconds later. They howl for 5 minutes.

14:16 - Caraya joins the chorus and they howl until 14:30.

14:33 - G1 is about 7 m distant from G3 and starts moving in its direction.

14:36 - Morfeu chases Barba Ruiva, Damasco and an adult female running and the G1 group leaves the area.

15:00 - G3 group members feed on ripe fruit from a morototo (*Schefflera morototonii*) tree distant about 20 m from the encounter area and 15 m from the tree where the EGC took place.

## Discussion

In sum, the EGC between Jane and Morfeu followed the pattern observed in previous studies, in which a female either took the initiative of leaving her group or moved with a male during intergroup encounters to a place outside the view of their respective group members to mate (for a review see Van Belle and Bicca-Marques, in press). Nevertheless, unlike Lopes and Bicca-Marques (2011), Jane was chased by the adult females of Morfeu's group. Within-group promiscuity and EGCs have been related to a female strategy of increasing paternity confusion to decrease the risk of infanticide following rank reversals or group takeovers, increasing the genetic diversity and quality of offspring, lowering the risk of male infertility or promoting sperm competition (see Van Belle and Bicca-Marques, in press). However, considering that female promiscuity probably is a primitive atelid trait and that howler monkeys tend to live in smaller groups (with less adult males) than the atelines (Di Fiore et al., 2011), it is also possible that Alouatta females seek EGCs to avoid within-group mating with kin males without incurring the

risks of leaving their groups. Data on individual relatedness are needed to test this hypothesis.

# Acknowledgements

We thank Profa. Ana Biondi, head of the Escola Municipal de Ensino Fundamental Chequer Buchaim – Unidade Agropecuária, and the biologist Amanda Piper Grupelli for her help in the field. This study was approved by the Scientific Committee of the Faculdade de Biociências/Pontifícia Universidade Católica do Rio Grande do Sul (#033/11). EBD was supported by a CAPES Masters Scholarship and JCBM by a CNPq PQ-1D grant (# 303154/2009-8).

Elisa Brod Decker and Júlio César Bicca-Marques, Laboratório de Primatologia Faculdade de Biociências, Pontificia Universidade Católica do Rio Grande do Sul, Porto Alegre, RS 90619-900, Brasil. E-mails: elisabroddecker@gmail.com and < jcbicca@pucrs.br>.

#### References

Agoramoorthy, G. and Hsu, M. J. 2000. Extragroup copulation among wild red howler monkeys in Venezuela. *Folia Primatol.* 71: 147–151.

Di Fiore, A., Link, A. and Campbell, C. J. 2011. The atelines: behavioral and socioecological diversity in a New World monkey radiation. In: *Primates in Perspective*, C. J. Campbell, A. Fuentes, M. C. MacKinnon, S. K. Bearder and R. M. Stumpf (eds.), pp. 155–188. Oxford University Press, New York.

Fialho, M. S. and Setz, E. Z. F. 2007. Extragroup copulations among brown howler monkeys in southern Brazil. *Neotrop. Primates* 14: 28–30.

Glander, K. E. 1992. Dispersal patterns in Costa Rican mantled howling monkeys. *Int. J. Primatol.* 13: 415–436. Kowalewski, M. M. and Garber, P. A. 2010. Mating promiscuity and reproductive tactics in female black and gold howler monkeys (*Alouatta caraya*) inhabiting an island in the Parana river, Argentina. *Am. J. Primatol.* 72: 734–748.

Lopes, K. G. D. and Bicca-Marques, J. C. 2011. Extragroup copulations in *Alouatta guariba clamitans*. *Neotrop. Primates* 18: 52–53.

Strier, K. B. 1997. Mate preferences in wild muriqui monkeys (*Brachyteles arachnoides*): reproductive and social correlates. *Folia Primatol.* 68: 120–133.

Van Belle, S. and Bicca-Marques, J.C. In press. Insights into the reproductive strategies and sexual selection in howler monkeys. In: *Howler Monkeys: Examining the Evolution, Physiology, Behavior, Ecology and Conservation of the Most Widely Distributed Neotropical Primate*, M. M. Kowalewski, P. A. Garber, L. Cortés-Ortiz, B. Urbani and D. Youlatos (eds.). Springer, New York.

Van Belle, S., Estrada, A., Ziegler, T. E. and Strier, K. B. 2009. Sexual behavior across ovarian cycles in wild black howler monkeys (*Alouatta pigra*): male mate guarding and female mate choice. *Am. J. Primatol.* 71: 153–164.

A QUEBRA DE BARREIRAS NATURAIS POR CONEXÓES ARTIFICIAIS: O RELATO DA TRANSPOSIÇÃO DO RIO SÃO FRANCISCO POR MACACOS-PREGO NO NORDESTE DO BRASIL

> Maria do Socorro da Silva Wallace Pinto Batista Mônica Mafra Valença-Montenegro Amely Branquinho Martins Sérgio Luiz Malta de Azevedo Marcos de Souza Fialho

O uso de passagens artificiais para a fauna silvestre é amplamente promovido como uma ferramenta conservacionista que visa reduzir o isolamento de animais e populações por obstáculos artificiais, tais como estradas (Jackson e Griffin, 2000). Por outro lado, as barreiras naturais impõem limites à distribuição dos táxons (Cox e Moore, 2010), promovendo o isolamento reprodutivo de espécies parapátricas potencialmente hibridáveis, como proposto para diversos gêneros de primatas na Amazônia (Ayres e Clutton-Brock, 1992).

O rio São Francisco atua como barreira da distribuição da maioria das espécies de primatas autóctones do nordeste brasileiro. Contudo, a partir da década de 1940 diversas usinas hidroelétricas (UHEs) foram construídas ao longo de seu curso, dentre as quais se destaca o complexo de Paulo Afonso (9°23'50"S, 38°12'00"O) formado pelas UHEs de Paulo Afonso I, II, III, IV e Apolônio Sales (Moxotó) entre os estados de Alagoas e Bahia. O complexo, administrado pela Companhia Hidro Elétrica do São Francisco (CHESF) e inserido na unidade de conservação federal "Monumento Natural do Rio São Francisco", gera 4,280 MW (Fig. 1a). As estruturas construídas associadas a estas barragens incluem três pontes sobre o rio São Francisco: uma ponte de alvenaria com 20 m de comprimento e duas pontes metálicas estaiadas (suspensas por cabos) com 240 m de comprimento cada (todas possuem 4 m de largura). Essas pontes são utilizadas para o deslocamento de funcionários envolvidos na manutenção das UHEs e suas subestações entre os dois estados (Fig. 1b).

Esta pesquisa visou verificar a veracidade de relatos de funcionários da CHESF de que saguis (*Callithrix jacchus*) e macacos-prego (*Sapajus* sp.) usam as três pontes para se deslocar entre as margens do rio São Francisco. Foram realizadas entrevistas com 15 trabalhadores/moradores das proximidades das três pontes, instaladas duas armadilhas fotográficas em uma ponte metálica, as quais ficaram expostas ininterruptamente durante 3 dias em abril e 8 dias em maio de 2013, e realizadas 50 campanhas de monitoramento das pontes entre abril e agosto de 2013. Dentre os entrevistados, 12 (80%) relataram terem observado macacos-prego se deslocando por uma ponte metálica, mas nenhum mencionou a travessia das pontes